

68

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 66973 OF 2018

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 13301 OF 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

SUBRATA BHATTACHARYA

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE

BOARD OF INDIA

...RESPONDENT(S)

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

VISMAYA VENTURES INDIA PVT. LTD.

HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT:

GCRRA 10-KALARCODE,

SANATHANAPURA, P.O. ALAPUZHA 688003,

KERALA

... Applicant

I.A. NO. OF 2018
AN APPLICATION SEEKING DIRECTIONS

ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT SOMIRAN SHARMA

20

INDEX

SR. NO.	PARTICULARS	PAGES
1.	I.A. NO. OF 2018 An Application Seeking Directions With Affidavit.	1 - 8
2.	ANNEXURE A.1. A true copy of the Order dated 06.03.2018 Passed by Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) .	9 - 10
3.	ANNEXURE A.2. A true copy of the application dated 15.03.2018 filed by the applicant seeking recalling of the order dated 06.03.2018 before Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) .	11 - 14
4.	ANNEXURE A.3. A true copy of the Order dated 23.03.2018 passed by Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd).	15
5.	ANNEXURE A.4. A true copy of the order dated 23.02.2018 in Civil Appeal 13301/ 2015 passed by this Hon'ble Court in the above matters.	16 - 20

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. OF 2018

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 13301 OF 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

An Application seeking
directions

IN THE MATTER OF:

SUBRATA BHATTACHARYA

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE

BOARD OF INDIA

...RESPONDENT(S)

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

VISMAYA VENTURES INDIA PVT. LTD.

HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT:

GCRRA 10-KALARCODE,

SANATHANAPURA, P.O. ALAPUZHA 688003,

KERALA

... Applicant

AN APPLICATION SEEKING DIRECTIONS

TO

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA

AND HIS COMPANION JUDGES OF THE

HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

THE HUMBLE APPLICATION OF
THE APPLICANT ABOVENAMED

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. The Applicant had filed the I.A. No132717/2017 seeking leave of this Hon'ble Court to intervene in the above mentioned Civil Appeal no.

2

13301 of 2015 as an Intervener and I.A. No132726/2017 seeking directions for appointment of the applicant as a Nodal Agent for conducting due diligence of the properties of PACL, bringing prospective purchasers and to facilitate and expedite the sale/ auction of the properties.

2. The Applicant craves for leave of this Hon'ble Court to refer and rely on the said applications for the purposes of the present application and the same are not repeated herein for the sake of brevity.

3. The applicant seeks to state however a few facts in brief for the present application.

3.1 The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its Order dated 02.02.2016 in Civil Appeal No. 13301 of 2015, constituted Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee for disposing of the land purchased by the PACL Ltd so that the sale proceeds can be paid to the investors, who have invested their funds in the Company for purchase of the land.

3.2 The Applicant is a Company registered under the Companies Act, 1956, is a Private Limited Company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 with CIN U51909WP1955PTC022142 having registered office at GCRRA 10-Kalarcode, Sanathanapura, P.O. Alapuzha 688003, Kerala State. The Applicant has wide experience in event management and consultancy services for sale of properties and estates. The aforesaid applications and the present application is filed by the above named Applicant on behalf of the consortium formed by the Applicant company and two other consortium partners namely, Multistate Cooperative

3

Credit Society Limited and Ridges Events and Media Private Limited. The applicant is authorized by the consortium partners to represent the consortium as the lead participant and file the present application. The details of the consortium partners are set out in the aforesaid applications.

3.3 The applicant states that the Applicant and the consortium partners came to learn about the second status report dated 11.04.2017 submitted by the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee before this Hon'ble Court wherein at internal page 75 – 76 of the said Report, the Justice R.M. Lodha Committee at Para 15 made "observations with respect to the future course of action that may be adopted". At Para 15.1 (iv), the Justice R.M. Lodha Committee made the following observations:

"Assistance of suitable agencies could also be sought to expedite sale once buyers have expressed interest, while ensuring that the minimum value of each sale is not less than 90% of the notified circle rate or book value, whichever is higher, following the precedent laid down by orders of this Hon'ble Court the Sahara case."

3.4 In the above context, by way of the Application being file No.146/8 dated 09.10.2017, the above named Applicant sought leave of the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee to submit a detailed proposal for appointment of the consortium as the nodal agency for conducting due diligence of the properties of PACL and to facilitate and expedite the sale/ auction of the properties. That on 21.11.2017 an additional Affidavit was filed before Justice R.M. Lodha Committee in support of the said

Application dated 09.10.2017 seeking to place on record in detail the action which the Applicant proposes to take in the event the aforementioned Application is allowed appointing the Consortium as the Nodal Agency.

3.5 However, the applicant had not received any communication on the said Application dated 09.10.2017 from the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee and therefore filed the above applications being I.A. No132717/2017 AND I.A No I.A. No132726/2017

3.6 It appears that the office of the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee had forwarded the Application being file No.146/8 dated 09.10.2017 before before Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) mistakenly even when as per the Notification No. 66 dated 08.12.2017 of SEBI, the mandate of Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) is confined to hearing of objections /representations against attachment for sale of properties belonging to or vested in PACL. |

3.7 The above application being file No.146/8 dated 09.10.2017 taken up on 06.03.2018. The said Application was dismissed by Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) on the ground that his mandate is confined to the hearing of the objections/representations against the attachment for sale of properties belonging to or vested in the PACL. By the Order dated 06.03.2018, this Hon'ble Committee held that the Application is hence not maintainable. A true copy of the Order dated 06.03.2018 Passed by Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) is annexed herewith and marked as **Annexure A.1** (9-10)

5

3.8 That thereafter, the applicant filed another application seeking recalling of the Order dated 06.03.2018 and directing the return of the Application being file No.146/8 to the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee for appropriate directions as Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) opined that he has no jurisdiction. A true copy of the application dated 15.03.2018 filed by the applicant seeking recalling of the order dated 06.03.2018 before Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) is annexed herewith and marked as **Annexure A.2**⁽¹¹⁻¹⁴⁾. The said application was also however dismissed by Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) cursorily on 23.03.2018. A true copy of the Order dated 23.03.2018 passed by Shri. R.S. Virk, District Judge (Retd) is annexed herewith and marked as **Annexure A.3** (15)

3.9 That in the meantime, this Hon'ble Court has on 23.02.2018 requested Justice Lodha Committee to submit comments on the applications (including I.A. No132726/2017) within 4 weeks. A true copy of the order dated 23.02.2018 in Civil Appeal 13301/2015 passed by this Hon'ble Court in the above matters is annexed herewith and marked as **Annexure A.4** (16-20)

4. This Application is therefore filed seeking to bring on record these facts and also to request consider the prayers made Application being file No.146/8 and I.A No I.A. No132726/2017 and submit a report in terms of order dated passed by this Hon'ble Court on 23.02.2018.

5. This application is filed bonafide and is in the interest of justice.

6

PRAYER

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

- (a) Pass appropriate orders requesting Hon'ble Justice Lodha Committee to consider the prayers made Application being file No.146/8 and I.A. No132726/2017 and submit a report in terms of order dated passed by this Hon'ble Court on 23.02.2018 in Civil Appeal 13301/ 2015; and/or
- (b) pass such other and further Orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE APPLICANT AS IS DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY

**[SOMIRAN SHARMA]
ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT**

FILED ON: 3-5-2018

PLACE: NEW DELHI

7

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**I.A. NO. OF 2017
IN**

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 13301 OF 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

SUBRATA BHATTACHARYA °

APPELLANT

VERSUS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

RESPONDENT

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

VISMAYA VENTURES INDIA PVT. LTD

APPLICANT

AFFIDAVIT

I, Vinu Kumar, S/o. Shri. Sankara Narayana Panicker, aged about 41 years, R/o. Reshmi, GCRRR 10-Kalarcode, Sanathanapura, P.O. Alapuzha 688003, Kerala State, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under:-

1. That I am the Authorized Signatory of the Applicant Vismaya Ventures India Pvt. Ltd and in the accompanying Application and as such I am fully conversant with the facts and proceedings of the case and am competent to swear this affidavit.
2. That I have read and understood the contents of the accompanying Application and I say that the facts stated therein are true and correct to my knowledge.
3. That the Annexures annexed to the accompanying Application are true copies of their respective originals.




S. Vinu Kumar
DEPONENT

VERIFICATION


8


Verified that the contents of the above mentioned affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Nothing false has been stated therein and no material fact has been concealed therefrom.

Verified at Alappuzha on this the 16th day of April 2018.



Solemnly affirmed and signed before me by the deponent on this the 16th day of April 2018 at my office at Alappuzha.




JOSEPH MATHEW
(KIRIKAYIL)
ADVOCATE & DIST. NOTARY
ALAPPUZHA-13
No: 9446414316, 9446375197

Before Shri R.S. Virk, District Judge (RETD.)

appointed to hear objections/representations in the matter of PACL Ltd.
as so referred to in the order dated 15/11/2017, of the Hon'ble Supreme Court
passed in civil appeal no. 13301/2015 titled Subrata Bhattacharya vs SEBI and
duly notified in SEBI Press release no. 66 dated 08/12/2017.

File no. 146/8

Applicant : Vismaya Ventures India Pvt. Ltd.

Present : None

1. It may be noticed at the outset that vide order dated 02/02/2016, passed in civil appeal no. 13301/2015 bearing the title Subarata Bhattacharaya Versus Securities & Exchange Board Of India, the Hon'ble supreme court had directed constitution of a committee by SEBI to be headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.M. Lodha. former Chief Justice of India as its Chairman for disposing of the land purchased by PACL so that the sale proceeds recovered there from can be paid to the investors who have invested their funds in the company for purchase of the land. The said committee was asked to collect relevant record including title sale deeds from the CBI (Central Bureau of Investigation) if it is in possession of any documents. The committee on its part has put up various properties including the property forming the subject matter of the present objection petition for auction sale on its website www.auctionpacl.com.
2. The applicant above named seeks appointment as the nodal agency of the consortium of itself, Ridges Events and Media Pvt. Ltd and Panchawati Multistate Cooperative Credit Society Limited formed by Vismaya Ventures India Pvt. Ltd. as the lead company for checking encumbrances, investigating title and conducting factual verification of the condition of the property belonging to PACL for facilitating sale thereof on behalf of the committee headed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.M. Lodha (Retd.) Committee in PACL matters. It is claimed that the applicant company has vast experience in the field of Event Management and Consultancy Services and is already undertaking similar projects for some public limited companies such as Innovative Foods Limited, Snowman etc.

Recd
6/3/18

- 3. The prayer seeking permission to submit proposal for appointment as the lead company of the consortium comprising itself, Ridges Events and Media Pvt. Ltd and Panchawati Multistate Cooperative Credit Society Limited is not maintainable before this committee because as mentioned in the notification number 66 dated 08/12/2017 of SEBI, the mandate of this committee is confined to hearing of objections/representations against attachment for sale of properties belonging to or vested in PACL.

- 4. In view of the foregoing discussion, the application in hand is liable to be and is hereby dismissed.

Date : 06/03/2018

R. S. Virk
Distt. Judge (Retd.)

Note:

Two copies of this order are being signed simultaneously, one of which shall be retained on this file whereas the other one, also duly signed, shall be delivered to the objector as and when requested /applied for.

Date : 06/03/2018

R. S. Virk
Distt. Judge (Retd.)

BEFORE SHRI. R.S. VIRK, DISTRICT JUDGE (RETD.)
(APPOINTED BY HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA TO HEAR
OBJECTIONS / REPRESENTATIONS IN THE MATTER OF PACL LTD)

IN RE:

FILE NO. 146/8

APPLICANT: VISMAYA VENTURES INDIA PVT. LTD.

APPLICATION SEEKING RECALLING OF THE ORDER DATED 06.03.2018

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. The above application was listed on 06.03.2018. The said Application was dismissed on the ground that the mandate this Hon'ble Committee is confined to the hearing of the objections/ representations against the attachment for sale of properties belonging to or vested in the PACL. By the said Order dated 06.03.2018, this Hon'ble Committee held that the Application is hence not maintainable. The present Application is filed seeking recalling of the Order dated 06.03.2018 and directing the return of the Application being file No.146/8 to the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee for appropriate directions. A true copy of the Order dated 06.03.2018 passed by this Hon'ble Committee in the Application being file No.146/8 is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE-A. Pg. no. (6-7)
2. The Applicant craves for leave of this Hon'ble Committee to refer to and rely upon the contents of the said Application being file No. 146/8 for the purposes of the present Application and the same are not repeated herein for the sake of brevity.
3. It is submitted that the said Application being file No.146/8 was filed on 09.10.2017 before the Hon'ble Lodha Committee to consider the prayers made in the Application in light of the observations made in Para 15.1(iv) of the Report dated 11.04.2017 submitted by the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha

Committee before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Civil Appeal No. 13301 of 2015 (titled as Subrata Bhattacharya vs. Securities and Exchange Board of India)* recommending that "*assistance of suitable agencies can be sought to expedite sale once buyers have expressed interest, while ensuring that the minimum value of each sale is not less than 90% of the notified circle rate or book value, whichever is higher, following the precedent laid down by orders of this Hon'ble Court the Sahara case.*"

4. It appears that the office of the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee had forwarded the Application before this Hon'ble Committee mistakenly even when as per the Notification No. 66 dated 08.12.2017 of SEBI, the mandate of this Hon'ble Committee is confined to hearing of objections /representations against attachment for sale of properties belonging to or vested in PACL.
5. In the aforesaid circumstances, it is submitted that the Order dated 06.03.2018 is recalled in the interest of justice and the said Application being File No. 146/8 is return to the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee for passing appropriate directions.
6. The Applicant seeks to mention that during the pendency of the Application being File No. 146/8 before the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee, the Applicant had also approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court filing an Application being I.A. No. 132726 of 2017 in Civil Appeal No. 13301 of 2015 on 06.12.2017 seeking the following reliefs:-

"(a) *Appoint the consortium described in Para 2.3 of this application as the nodal agency for conducting due diligence of the properties of PACL to facilitate and expedite the sale/ auction of the properties; and/or*

(b) *Pass such other and further Orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."*

7. It is submitted that said Application being I.A. No. 132726 of 2017 in Civil Appeal No. 13301 of 2015 is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. On 23.02.2018, the Hon'ble Supreme Court requested Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee to submit the commence on the Applications including on the said Application being I.A. No. 132726 of 2017. A copy of the Order dated 23.02.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 13301 of 2015 is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE-B, Pg. No. (8-12)

8. The said application being I.A. No. 132726 of 2017 along with other connected matters are likely to be listed in the month of April. It is submitted that in view of this, the applicant would submit that the above application being File No. 146/8 may also be returned to the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee so that the said Committee may have the entire facts for proper appreciation of the Applicant's case.

9. It is submitted that when the above application being File No. 146/8 was listed on 06.03.2018, the Applicant's Advocate could not appear as he was suffering from Mumps, which is a contagious viral infection and the Advocate was advised strict bed rest and not to interact with others so as to prevent spreading of the viral infection. As such the Applicant's Advocate addressed a letter to this Hon'ble Committee requesting for an adjournment of the hearing by two weeks of the hearing scheduled on 06.03.2018. A copy of the letter dated 05.03.2018 submitted by hand by the registered Clerk of the Advocate to the office of this Hon'ble Committee is annexed herewith and marked as ANNEXURE-C, Pg. No. (13)

- 10. The Applicant submits that since this Hon'ble Committee has already taken the view that the prayers made in the Application being File No. 146/8 is beyond the mandate of this Hon'ble Committee, it is in the interest of justice that the said Application being File No. 146/8 may be returned to the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee for passing appropriate directions.
- 11. This application is filed bonafide and is in the interest of justice.

PRAYER

It is therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

- a) Recall the Order dated 06.03.2018 in the above Application being File No. 146/8 and return the said Application to the Hon'ble Justice R.M. Lodha Committee for appropriate directions;
- b) pass such other or further order/s as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE APPLICANT, AS IN DUTY BOUND, SHALL EVER PRAY.

DRAWN & FILED BY

Somiran Sharma
(SOMIRAN SHARMA)

ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT

NEW DELHI
FILED ON: 15.03.2018

Before Shri R.S. Virk, District Judge (RETD.)

appointed to hear objections/representations in the matter of PACL Ltd. as so referred to in the order dated 15/11/2017, of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in civil appeal no. 13301/2015 titled Subrata Bhattacharya vs SEBI and duly notified in SEBI Press release no. 66 dated 08/12/2017.

File no. 146/8

Miscellaneous Application seeking recall of order dated 06/03/2018

Applicant : Vismaya Ventures India Pvt. Ltd.


Present : None

By way of the application in hand dated 15/03/2018 submitted in his office by one Avinash Jha clerk to Sh. Somiram Sharma, Advocate, Delhi, the applicant above named seeks recall of the order dated 06/03/2018 on the ground that the application which was disposed off by me vide order dated 06/03/2018 was in fact addressed to Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Retd.) R. M. Lodha Committee which appears to have mistakenly forwarded the application (which came to be dismissed vide my order dated 06/03/2018) because the mandate of my committee is confined to hearing objections / representations against attachment / sale of properties of PACL.

A perusal of the file reveals that Sh. Somiran Sharma, Advocate had appeared in this matter on 19/02/2018 on which date the file was adjourned to 06/03/2018 in view of his having informed me that notice had statedly been issued in the same matter by the Hon'ble Supreme Court for 20/02/2018.

Having thus participated before me in the hearing of the said application which came to be dismissed vide my order dated 06/03/2018, he cannot be now heard to contend that the said application should not have been heard by me and should have instead been forwarded to Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Retd.) R. M. Lodha Committee. The application in hand is thus dismissed.

Date : 23/03/2018



R. S. Virk

Distt. Judge (Retd.)

Note:

Two copies of this order are being signed simultaneously, one of which shall be retained on this file whereas the other one, also duly signed, shall be delivered to the objector as and when requested /applied for.

Date : 23/03/2018


R. S. Virk

Distt. Judge (Retd.)

(T. C)

ITEM NO.55

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 13301/2015

SUBRATA BHATTACHARYA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(IA No.20912/2018-impleading party and IA No.20915/2018-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS FOR ON IA 4/2016 FOR ON IA 6/2016 FOR ON IA 7/2016 FOR ON IA 9/2016 FOR ON IA 10/2016 FOR INTERVENTION APPLICATION ON IA 12/2016 FOR ON IA 14/2016 FOR INTERVENTION APPLICATION ON IA 19/2016 FOR ON IA 20/2016 FOR ON IA 21/2016 FOR INTERVENTION APPLICATION ON IA 22/2017 FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 64555/2017 FOR MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER ON IA 75361/2017 FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS ON IA 101649/2017 FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 117057/2017 FOR INTERVENTION APPLICATION ON IA 132717/2017 FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 132726/2017 FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 134999/2017 FOR PERMISSION TO FILE APPLICATION FOR DIRECTION ON IA 135012/2017 FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 136075/2017 FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 136095/2017 FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 138753/2017 FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 138756/2017 FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 15872/2018 FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 15874/2018 and IA No.26147/2018-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

WITH

W.P. (C) No. 640/2016 (X)

(and

FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 102672/2017
FOR PERMISSION TO FILE APPLICATION FOR DIRECTION ON IA 107462/2017)

W.P. (C) No. 613/2016 (X)

T.C. (C) No. 31/2016 (XVI-A)

T.C. (CrI.) No. 1/2016 (XVI-A)

T.C. (CrI.) No. 2/2016 (XVI-A)

T.C. (CrI.) No. 3/2016 (XVI-A)

T.C. (C) No. 30/2016 (XVI-A)

W.P. (C) No. 500/2015 (X)

ON IA 1/2015)

C.A. No. 13319/2015 (XVII)

C.A. No. 13394/2015 (XVII)

(FOR INTERVENTION APPLICATION ON IA 5/2016)

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
MAHARAJ SINGH
Date: 2019.02.24
13:31:45 IST
Reason: -

17

FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT ON IA 13587/2018
 FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 13593/2018 and IA
 No.24482/2018-INTERVENTION APPLICATION and IA
 No.24485/2018-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS and IA
 No.24487/2018-STAY APPLICATION)
 C.A. No. 13410/2015 (XVII)
 (FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION ON IA 131617/2017)

T.C. (C) No. 134/2015 (XVI-A)

Date : 23-02-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

Counsel for the
 parties

Mr. Maninder Singh, ASG
 Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.
 Ms. Prerna Kumari, Adv.
 Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
 Ms. Ranjana Narayan, Adv.
 Mr. Prakash Gautam, Adv.
 Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
 Ms. Aarti Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Prabhas Bajaj, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
 Dr. A. M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.
 (Appearance slips not given on their behalf)

Mr. Arvind P. Datar, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.
 Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.
 Mr. Anuj Sharma, Adv.
 Ms. Niharika, Adv.
 Ms. Kanika Kalaiyarasan, Adv.
 For M/s. K J John And Co.

Mr. Dushyant Dave, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, Adv.
 Mr. Ashutosh Dubey, Adv.
 Mr. Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.
 Mr. Devraj, Adv.
 Mr. Arun Nagar, Adv.
 Mr. A. Vacher, Adv.
 Mr. Satish Vig, AOR

Mr. Rajesh P., AOR
 Mr. Manoranjan P., Adv.

Mr. Hrishikesh Baruah, AOR

Mr. Somiran Sharma, AOR

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. Wajeeh Shafiq, AOR

Mr. Jai Dehadraj, Adv.
Mr. Sidharth Arora, Adv.
Ms. Shivangini Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Deepak Kunwar, Adv.
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Adv.
Mr. S. Vijay K., Adv.
Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR
Ms. Pooja Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Rajender Parsad Singh, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Rajora, Adv.
Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, AOR

Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR

Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar, AOR

Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR

Mr. Sujren Uppal, Adv.
Mr. Aviral Kashyap, AOR
Ms. Amneet Kaur Mohi, Adv

Mr. Gagan Gupta, AOR

Mrs. Pallavi Tayal Chadda, Adv.
Mr. Avinash Kumar, AOR
Ms. Tulika Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Singh, AOR

Mr. Somiran Sharma, AOR

Mr. Hetu Arora Sethi, AOR

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Ms. Shalu Sharma, AOR

Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, AOR
Ms. Vandana Mishra, Adv.
Ms. K. Vijayanthi, Adv.

Mr. Shailabh Pandey, Adv.
 Mr. Davesh Vashishtha, Adv.
 Mr. Syed Asif Iqbal, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Mishra, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Ritesh Agrawal, AOR
 Mr. Sohel Rishabh, Adv.

Mr. C.P. Chanderasekharan, Adv.
 Mr. Shantanu Kumar, AOR

Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Dwivedi, AOR

For M/s. B J. Law Offices, AOR

Mr. T. Sudhakar, Adv.
 Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR

Mr. M.P. Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Rajeev Kumar Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Jasmine Damkewala, Adv.
 Ms. Kinyak Loya, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Mishra, Adv.
 Mr. Anjani K. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Sudheer, Adv.
 Mr. Rishi maheshwari, Adv.
 Mr. Bharat Sood, Adv.
 Ms. Shruti Jose, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
 Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.
 Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.
 Mrs. Beena Prakash, Adv.
 Mr. Vijay Shankar V.L., Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

° O R D E R

The sale of the property can be conducted in terms of the report of Justice Lodha Committee and under the supervision of the said Committee.

All the required procedures for the transparent sale will be assured by the Committee.

In case of any clarification, it will be open to Justice Lodha Committee to seek the same from this Court.

Issue notice on the fresh applications for clarification/directions/impleadment/intervention.

Reply to the applications, if any, be filed within four weeks.

Justice Lodha Committee may submit the comments on the applications within four weeks.

Post after six weeks.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(T.C)