

BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(Under the Right to Information Act, 2005)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

Appeal No. 6674 of 2026

Murali Krishna P V : Appellant

Vs

CPIO, SEBI, Mumbai : Respondent

ORDER

1. The appellant had filed an application dated December 02, 2025 (received by the respondent through RTI MIS Portal) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (“**RTI Act**”). The respondent, by a letter dated December 29, 2025, responded to the application filed by the appellant. The appellant filed an appeal (Reg. No. SEBIH/A/E/25/00342) dated December 29, 2025. I have carefully considered the application, the response and the appeal and find that the matter can be decided based on the material available on record.
2. **Queries in the application** - The appellant, in his application dated December 02, 2025, sought the following information:

“1. Provide me meeting proceeding of Sebi after the Janes street reporter of looting people of India by Janes street to prevent such further incidence

2. Provide me the steps taken by sebi to prevent recurrence of such Jane street incidence.

3. Give me the proposal if any taken to refund the loss to people who had lost money by Janes street, any measure taken to refund the loss the persons affected”
3. **Reply of the Respondent** –The respondent, in response to query nos. 1 and 2 in the application, informed that the queries are vague and not specific. Accordingly, the same cannot be construed as “Information” as defined u/s 2(f) of the RTI Act.

The respondent, in response to query no. 3, informed that the query is hypothetical in nature and in the nature of seeking clarification /opinion. Accordingly, the same cannot be construed as “Information” as defined u/s 2(f) of the RTI Act.

4. **Ground of appeal** – The appellant has filed the appeal on the ground that he was refused access to the information requested.
5. I have perused the application and the response provided thereto. With regard to query nos. 1 and 2, I concur with the response of the respondent that the queries are vague and not specific. It is an established law that the information sought for in order to be disclosable under the RTI Act, must be clear, specific and available in the records of the public authority. In this context, I note that in the matter of *Mr. T. V. Sundaresan vs. CPIO, Securities and Exchange Board of India* (Decision dated November 24, 2021), the Hon’ble Central Information Commission (hereinafter referred to as “**CIC**”) held: “*The framework of the RTI Act, 2005 expects that the information sought is specific and believed to be existing with the public authority in documented or material form as such; which can be shared with the appellant as per the provisions of the RTI Act. Answering to broad, multiple and general queries and presumptive documents that should have been generated as per the expectation of the appellant cannot be furnished under the provisions of the Act.*” Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.
6. Further with regard to query no.3, I concur with the response of the respondent that the information sought is in the nature of hypothetical query. I find that the said query cannot be construed as seeking ‘information’ as defined under section 2(f) of the RTI Act. In this context, I note that the Hon’ble CIC, in the matter of *V R Srinivasan vs. CPIO, SEBI* (Order dated January 19, 2023), held that, “*The Commission opined that the appellant has not sought any material information as defined in section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005 and his queries were totally based upon a hypothetical situation, therefore, the denial of information was proper.*” Additionally, I also note that the query is in the nature of seeking clarification/opinion from the respondent. Accordingly, I do not find any deficiency in the response of the respondent.

7. In view of the above observations, I find that there is no need to interfere with the decision of the respondent. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Place: Mumbai

Date: January 19, 2026

**RUCHI CHOJER
APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RTI ACT
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA**